TERZIAN LLP

Superior Court of California WARREN TERZIAN LLP County of Los Angeles Thomas D. Warren (SBN 160921) 04/22/2025 2 tom.warren@warrenterzian.com David W. Stryfor, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court Dan Terzian (SBN 283835) T. Lewis Ву: ____ 3 Deputy dan.terzian@warrenterzian.com Erick Kuylman (SBN 313202) 4 erick.kuylman@warrenterzian.com 222 N. Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 2000 5 Los Angeles, CA 90245 T: (213) 410-2620 6 **GERAGOS & GERAGOS, APC** 7 Mark Geragos (SBN 108325) geragos@geragos.com 8 Ben Meiselas (SBN 277412) meiselas@geragos.com 9 644 S. Figueroa St. Los Angeles, CA 90017 10 Counsel for Plaintiffs 11 12 13 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 15 16 JAMES WEDEL, CHARLES MCWHALES, Case No. 20STCV03962 17 SIMON MASHIAN, FAUSTO Hon. David S. Cunningham, Dept. 11 HERNANDEZ, MICHAELA VIVANT, 18 MARJAN SVETKO, and PEI EN CHONG **Stipulation and Amended Order Granting** 19 SAMPSON, individually and on behalf of all Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and others similarly situated, **Entering Judgment** 20 Plaintiffs, 21 v. 22 DOUGLAS EMMETT, INC., a Maryland 23 Corporation; BARRINGTON PACIFIC, LLC, Action Filed: Jan. 30, 2020 a California limited liability company; 24 DOUGLAS EMMETT MANAGEMENT, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; 25 and DOES 1-50, inclusive, 26 Defendants. 27 28 WARREN

Stipulation and Order

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

STIPULATION

Plaintiffs James Wedel, Charles McWhales, Simon Mashian, Fausto Hernandez, Michaela Vivant, Marjan Svetko, and Pei en Chong Sampson, and Defendants Douglas Emmett, Inc., Douglas Emmett Management, LLC, Barrington Pacific, LLC, and Universal Protection Service, LP (collectively, "Parties") stipulate to the entry of an amended order granting final approval of this class action for these reasons:

RECITALS

- 1. On March 21, 2025, the Court entered the Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Entering Judgment ("Order").
- 2. After coordinating with the class action administrator, the parties realized that paragraphs 12 through 15 of the Order were inconsistent with the operative settlement agreement's terms as well as the briefing's discussion of those terms.
- 3. Those paragraphs incorrectly (a) stated that settlement checks shall be void if not cashed within 90 days and (b) required a second round of distributions unless the administrator determined "it would not be economical," in which case it would go to the cy pres recipient.
- 4. These paragraphs contradict the operative settlement agreement. It was counsel's error in including them in the proposed order, and no similar errors were made in the briefing (meaning: the briefing accurately described the settlement agreement's terms).
- 5. Under Paragraph 4.3 of the operative settlement agreement, (1) the class members' deadline for cashing settlement checks shall be "not less than 180 days after the date of mailing" and (2) any uncashed funds shall be paid to the cy pres recipient. Accordingly, the Order needs to be amended.
- 6. Because the deadline for cashing checks is 180 days, the final report hearing date of July 11, 2025, is no longer workable.
- 7. This was an inadvertent oversight, and it should have been addressed prior to resubmitting the proposed order following the final approval hearing on March 19, 2025.

STIPHILATION

1	STIPULATION			
2	1. The Parties stipulate to the entry of the below Amended Order that corrects the			
3	above errors by removing the four incorrect paragraphs (12 to 15) and replacing them with a new			
4	paragraph 12 that conforms to the approved settlement agreement. Paragraph 22 of the Amended			
5	Order contains an underscore for the Court to set a new final report hearing date, which should be a			
6	least ten months out.			
7				
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
9				
10	Dated: April 1, 2025	WARREN TERZIAN LLP		
11				
12		<u>/s/ Dan Terzian</u> Dan Terzian		
13		Counsel for Plaintiffs		
14	Dated: April 1, 2025	GERAGOS & GERAGOS, APC		
15	11 * '			
16		<u>/s/ Mark Geragos</u> Mark Geragos		
17		Counsel for Plaintiffs		
18		Counsel for Flainliffs		
19	Dated: April 1, 2025	CHAPMAN GLUCKSMAN DEAN & ROEB, APC		
20				
21		<u>/s/ David Napper</u> David Napper		
22		Counsel for Defendant Douglas Emmett, Inc.		
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	II			

	Dated: April 1, 2025 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP	
2	/ / 14 17	
3	/s/ Matthew Harrison Matthew Harrison	
5	Counsel for Defendants Barrington Pacific,	
6	LLC and Douglas Emmett Management, LLC	
7	Dated: April 1, 2025 KLINEDINST PC	
8		
9	/s/ Kevin J. Gramling Kevin J. Gramling	
10		
11	Counsel for Defendant Universal Protection Service, LP	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
WARREN TERZIAN LLP	4	
TERZIAN LLP	Stipulation and Order	

AMENDED ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING JUDGMENT

The Court hereby ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action and over all parties to the action, including all members of the settlement class.
- 2. The settlement is in all respects fair, reasonable, and adequate, and it is hereby approved.
- 3. The Court finds that the class is properly certified as a class for settlement purposes only: "All leaseholders at the Barrington Plaza Apartments, 11740 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California, as of January 29, 2020."
- 4. The Court appoints plaintiffs James Wedel, Charles McWhales, Simon Mashian, Fausto Hernandez, Michaela Vivant, Marjan Svetko, and Pei en Chong Sampson as class representatives for settlement purposes only.
- The Court appoints Warren Terzian LLP and Geragos & Geragos, APC as class counsel for settlement purposes only.
- 6. The notice provided to the settlement class conforms with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and any other applicable law, and constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, by providing individual notice to all class members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and by providing due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein to the other class members. The notice fully satisfied the requirements of due process.
- 7. The Court finds the settlement was entered into in good faith, that the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and that the settlement satisfies the standards and applicable requirements for final approval of this class action settlement under California law, including the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769.

- 8. With respect to notice and settlement administration, zero (0) class members have objected to the Settlement and two (2) class members, Dawn Levine and Ajay Bapna, have requested to be excluded from the settlement. The positive response of the class underscores that the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate.
- 9. Upon entry of this order, compensation to the class members of the settlement shall be paid a cash payment pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement.
- 10. All envelopes transmitting settlement distribution to settlement class members shall bear the notation, "YOUR CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT CHECK IS ENCLOSED."
- 11. If any settlement distribution check has not been negotiated within sixty (60) days after the date of mailing, CPT shall mail a reminder postcard to such class member.
- 12. Participating class members will have one hundred-eighty (180) calendar days from the date of issuance of the check to cash or otherwise deposit their check. For any check not cashed after 180 calendar days, the settlement administrator shall cancel the check and remit the funds to the *cy pres* recipient, Inner City Law Center. The settlement administrator shall inform the parties regarding the status of any uncashed checks at the conclusion of the 180-calendar day check cashing period, including the amount at issue.
- 13. In addition to any recovery that Plaintiffs may receive as a class member under the settlement, and in recognition of Plaintiffs' efforts on behalf of the class, the Court hereby approves the payment of a class representative enhancement award in the amount of \$4,000 to each Plaintiff, totaling \$28,000, for their services as class representatives, and Defendants shall cause this sum to be paid to Plaintiffs in accordance with the terms of the settlement agreement.
- 14. The Court approves attorneys' fees in the amount of \$313,125 (75% of \$417,500) and costs in the amount of \$54,217.57 to Warren Terzian LLP, and Defendants shall cause this sum to be paid to CPT in accordance with the terms of the settlement agreement.
- 15. The Court approves attorneys' fees in the amount of \$104,375 (25% of \$417,500) and costs in the amount of \$4,437.80 to Geragos & Geragos, APC, and Defendants shall cause this sum to be paid to CPT in accordance with the terms of the settlement agreement.

25

26

27

28

judgment to class members.

R" |^ÁGGÉÉGÉGÍ

22.

Settlement is set for

A final report (nonappearance) hearing regarding compliance with the terms of the

at Ì KH€Áse{

in Department 11. No later than

3.771(b) of the California Rules of Court in order to provide notice to the class members of this

order and judgment. CPT shall also provide a mailed copy of the notice of entry of order and

1	five court days prior to the nonappearance hearing, Plaintiffs' counsel shall file a report as well as a			
2	declaration by the Settlement Administrator regarding distribution of the Settlement Amount and			
3	any uncashed checks.			
4	23. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this action, including with respect to all			
5	matters related to the administration and consummation of the Settlement, to enforce the terms of			
6	this Judgment, and the determination of any controversies relating thereto.			
7	24. This document shall constitute entry of Judgment for purposes of California Rule of			
8	Court, Rule 3.769(h).			
9	IT IC CO ODDEDED ADJUDCED AND DECDEED			
10	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.			
11	Dated: 04/22/2025 , 2025			
12	Hon. David S. Cunningham California Superior Court Judge			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
3	I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action. I am employed in the county where the		
4	service occurred; my business address is 222 N. Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 2000, Los Angeles,		
5	CA 90245.		
6	On the undersigned date, I caused to be served	the following documents:	
7	Stipulation and Amended Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement		
8	and Entering Judgment		
9	I caused the documents to be served on the inte	erested parties:	
10	Mark Geragos Ben Meiselas	Dana Fox Dawn Flores-Oster	
11	Geragos & Geragos, APC	Matthew Harrison	
12	644 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90071	Kellian Summers Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP	
13	geragos@geragos.com meiselas@geragos.com	633 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 dana.fox@lewisbrisbois.com	
		dawn.flores-oster@lewisbrisbois.com matthew.harrison@lewisbrisbois.com	
14	Counsel for Plaintiffs	kellian.summers@lewisbrisbois.com	
15		Counsel for Defendants Barrington Pacific, LLC and	
16		Douglas Emmett Management, LLC	
17			
18	Arthur J. Chapman David A. Napper		
19	Jill Johnson Chapman Glucksman Dean & Roeb, APC		
20	11900 W. Olympic Boulevard, Suite 800 Los Angeles, California 90064-0704		
21	achapman@cgdrlaw.com dnapper@cgdrlaw.com		
22	jjohnson@cgdrlaw.com		
23	Counsel for Defendant Douglas Emmett,		
24	Inc.		
25	Lelectronically served the documents via Cose	Anywhere on all the interested portion I served	
26	I electronically served the documents via CaseA	•	
27	the document on Defendant Universal Protection S	ervice, Lr by emaining it to its counsel at	

WARREN TERZIAN LLP

28

KGramling@KlinedinstLaw.com.

1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing
2	is true and correct.
3	
4	Dated: April 1, 2025
5	Erick Kuylman
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
2324	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	10
LID	10 Stimulation and Order

WARREN TERZIAN LLP